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This paper presents an analysis of the Kislangia-material from the localities of Almenara 1 (= Casablanca 1) and Galera 2 (Guadix-Baza Basin). This revision led to the recognition of a new species, Kislangia gusii, distinguished from the Central European K. rex, to which most of the Spanish populations were referred until now. Two different lineages of Kislangia existed during the late Villanyian in Europe, one leading to $K$. gusii and the other one leading to $K$. rex. $K$. gusii is compared with other samples, from French and Spanish localities.
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## Introduction

Among the various species originally described within the genus Mimomys, those ascribed to Kislangia belong to the best delimited lineages. The derived character allowing the recognition of this taxon is a typical broadening of the lingual triangles in the lower teeth.

The type-species of the genus is Kislangia ondatrina Kretzoi, 1954 from Kislang (Hungary). This species was later synonimized with Mimomys rex Kormos,

1934 from the late Villanyian of Villany 3 (Hungary). Other species assigned to Kislangia are: K. cappettai (Michaux, 1971), K. regulus Rabeder, 1981, K. praerex Rabeder, 1981, K. ischus (Esteban \& Martínez-Salanova, 1987), and K. clairi (Chaline, 1984).

Several Spanish populations from Upper Pliocene sites have also been referred to Kislangia, either as Kislangia rex or as Kislangia aff. rex: Valdeganga 3-4 (Mein et al., 1978), Galera 2 (Martín Suárez, 1988) and Almenara 1 (= Casablanca 1; Agustí \& Galobart, 1986; Esteban Aenlle \& López Martínez, 1987).

The site of Galera 2 (Guadix-Baza Basin, southern Spain) is located at the upper part of a palustrine gypsiferous sequence, ranging in age from Middle Pliocene (late Alfambrian) to Late Pliocene (late Villanyian, Agustí, 1986). This locality has yielded a diversified micromammal association, including Kislangia gusii sp. nov., Mimomys medasensis, Stephanomys cf. thaleri, Apodemus dominans, Castillomys crusafonti, Eliomys intermedius, Galemys kormosi, Sorex prearaneus, and Crocidura kornfeldi.

On the other hand, the Almenara (= Casablanca) karstic complex, in the Castellón province (E. Spain), is composed of more than five fossiliferous fissurefillings, ranging from Late Miocene to Early Pleistocene (late Biharian; Agustí \& Galobart, 1986). However, most of the fissure-fillings are dated as late Villanyian (Agustí \& Galobart, op.cit.; Esteban Aenlle \& López Martínez, 1987). The most characteristic locality of this age is Almenara 1 (= Casablanca 1). This is the only site in the complex, that shows a stratified sequence. The different levels within this sequence are rather similar. They contain more than 20 species: Kislangia gusii sp. nov., Mimomys medasensis, M. tornensis, Stephanomys progressus, Castillomys crusafonti, Apodemus aff. mystacinus, Eliomys quercinus ssp., Sciurinae indet., Lynx sp., Felis sp., Vulpes alopecoides, Canis etruscus, Ursus etruscus, Pachycrocuta brevirostris, Equus stenonis stenonis, Gazellospira torticornis, Procamtoceras brivatense (?), Ovibovini indet., Dama nesti, Eucladoceros sp., Leptobos sp., and abundant remains of amphibians, reptiles and birds.

Among the micromammal association, the big Kislangia from Galera 2 and Almenara 1, usually referred to as $K$. rex, shows in fact different ontogenetic dental trajectories as compared with the Central-European populations. Therefore, we propose its assignment to a new species, named Kislangia gusii sp. nov.
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## Systematics

Kislangia gusii sp. nov.
Pls 1-2, Fig. 8
Derivatio nominis - The species is dedicated to Francesc Gusi, Director of the Archaeological Survey of Castellón.

Type-locality - Almenara 1 (= Casablanca 1).
Holotype - An $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, IPS-ACB-1-NI-05, from level N-I of Almenara 1, and stored in the collections of the Institut de Paleontologia "Miquel Crusafont" (Sabadell, Spain).

Other localities with K. gusii - Galera 2 (Guadix-Baza Basin).

Diagnosis - Large Kislangia with persisting Mimomys-ridge in the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, even in very advanced stages of abrasion.

Measurements - See Table 1.

Differential diagnosis - See 'Comparison with other species'.
Dental terminology - See Figs. 1-2.
Description of the material from the type locality
$\mathrm{M}_{1}$ - As in the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ of Mimomys species, this element is formed by a posterior lobe (PL), three alternating triangles (T1-T3) and an anteroconid complex (ACC). The alternating triangles display the characteristic broadening observed in Kislangia. The lingual re-entrant angles are deeper and present a more transversal position than the

Table 1. Length, width and hyposinulid height of the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ of four populations of Kislangia, measured as indicated in Fig. 3 (in mm).

Balaruc 2 Zújar 11 Galera 2 Almenara 1

| L |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | 26 | 15 | 14 | 12 |
| min. | 3.28 | 3.43 | 3.69 | 3.96 |
| mean | 3.68 | 3.97 | 3.99 | 4.25 |
| max. | 4.03 | 4.35 | 4.36 | 4.71 |
| W |  |  |  |  |
| N | 27 | 15 | 14 | 12 |
| min. | 1.30 | 1.45 | 1.58 | 1.68 |
| mean | 1.53 | 1.73 | 1.81 | 1.90 |
| max. | 1.71 | 1.95 | 2.02 | 2.16 |
| Hpsld |  |  |  |  |
| N | 27 | 10 | 7 | 7 |
| min. | 0.20 | 0.99 | 3.34 | 3.26 |
| mean | 0.45 | 1.31 | 3.73 | 3.83 |
| max. | 0.98 | 1.66 | 4.13 | 4.27 |



Fig. 1. Terminology used in the description of the molars of Kislangia. Left, lower molars; right, upper molars. AC: anterior cap; ACC: anteroconid complex; AL: anterior lobe; BRA: buccal reentrant angle; BSA: buccal salient angle; EI: enamel islet; LRA: lingual re-entrant angle; LSA: lingual salient angle; MR: Mimomys-ridge; PC: posterior cap; PL: posterior lobe; T: enamel triangles (after van der Meulen, 1973).

## Plate 1

Enamel pattern of Kislangia gusii sp. nov. from Almenara 1.
Fig. I. Leading edge. Above, radial enamel; below, lamellar enamel.
Fig. 2. Trailing edge. Above, radial enamel; below, tangential enamel.

labial ones; the labial re-entrant angles are oriented forwards. T1 and T2 are isolated, while a weak connection can be observed between T2 and T3. With respect to the anteroconid complex, the Mimomys-ridge persists even in senile specimens, after the disappearence by wear of the enamel islet. The LSA4 is very deep. The T5 is well developed and in highly worn specimens shows a morphology typical for Kislangia: the anterior and posterior walls of this triangle are parallel and at their lingual end form a semicircle, instead of the characteristic angle of other Mimomys species. This peculiar morphology is repeated in the anteroconid cap (AC 2), which displays a rounded lingual wall, not showing the incipient LSA5 found in other species from Almenara l (Mimomys medasensis). Anterosinuid, hyposinuid and hyposinulid are very high, tangential to the wear surface. This implies that the enamel interruptions appear in very early stages. The mimosinuid is less developed. The cement in the reentrant angles is very abundant.
$\mathrm{M}_{2}$ - Formed by a posterior lobe and four alternating triangles. T1 and T2 are connected. On the other hand, T2 and T3 appear isolated in most of the cases. The T4 is reduced to a small triangular lobe, broadly communicating with the T3. The enamel is interrupted anteriorly. The sinuous line shows three low enamel-free sinuids: anterosinuid, hyposinulid and hyposinuid.
$M_{3}$ - This tooth shows a morphology very similar to that of the $M_{2}$, with a posterior lobe and three alternating triangles. However, the triangles are more straight than in the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$. The smallest width of the tooth is found at the level of the posterior lobe. As in the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, a broad communication exists between T 3 and T 4 . T 1 and T 2 are also communicated, while T2 and T3 appear isolated.
$\mathrm{M}^{1}$ - Formed by an anterior lobe ( $=$ anterocone) and four alternating triangles. All triangles appear isolated, although a small communication can be observed between T 1 and T 2 and, at a lesser degree, between T 3 and T 4 . The labial triangles are broader than the lingual ones. The largest width is found between T1 and T2. The T4 displays the largest chewing surface. Protosinus and anterosinus are highly developed, the enamel band being interrupted in the anterior lobe and in the Tl at very early stages. Labially, the anterosinulus and the distosinus are also highly developed.
$\mathrm{M}^{2}$ - Formed by an anterior lobe, $\mathrm{T} 2, \mathrm{~T} 3$, and T 4 . In a general way, this clement follows the morphology of the $\mathrm{M}^{1}$, but the triangles remain connected in highly worn specimens. Labial and lingual triangles show similar-sized surfaces. There is a highly developed distosinus. The other sinuses are less developed than in $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{l}}$.
$\mathrm{M}^{3}$ - Formed by an anterior lobe, three alternating triangles (T2, T3, T4) and a

## Plate 2

$\mathrm{M}_{1}$ of Kislangia gusii sp. nov. from Almenara 1 (ACB-1) and Galera $2(\mathrm{Ga}-2)$.
Fig. 1. ACB-1-43.
Fig. 2. ACB-1-44.
Fig. 3. ACB-1-45.
Fig. 4. Ga-2-01.
$\mathrm{M}_{1}$ of Kislangia cappettai from Balaruc 2 (BAL-2).
Fig. 5. BAL-2-07.
Fig. 6. BAL-2-08; A: occlusal view; B: labial view.



Fig. 2. Terminology of the linea sinuosa. Below, lower molars; above, upper molars. As: anterosinus; Asl: anterosinulus; Ds: distosinus; Hys: hyposinus; Mes: metasinus; Pas: parasinus; Prs: protosinus; Ads: anterosinuid; Esd: entosinuid; Hsd: hyposinuid; Hsld: hyposinulid; Misd: mimosinuid; Msd: metasinuid; Pasd: parasinuid; Pmsd: prismosinuid; Prsd: protosinuid (after Rabeder, 1981).
posterior cap. The anterior lobe and the T2 communicate. A narrower communication can be observed between T2 and T3. The posterior cap is rounded, with well-developed LRA-3 and BRA-3 filled with cement. The enamel islet is present, very narrow and with a subrectangular shape. It starts in the communication field between T 2 and T 3 and ends close to the wall of the BRA-3, almost closing the T3. This enamel islet persists even in highly worn specimens. In all cases, protosinus, hyposinus and distosinus are highly developed.

## DISCUSSION ON K. GUSII

Kislangia gusii from Galera 2 presents the same general features as the material from Almenara 1; some minor differences between the two samples can be observed. Thus, in contrast with the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ of the type-population, T 1 and T 2 are broadly connected. Moreover, T2-T3 and T3-T4 show a weak connection in most of the cases. There is also some variation in the shape and position of the enamel islet. In Almenara 1 the oval enamel islet is longitudinal between the LRA-4 and the anterior side of the BSA3, the Mimomys-ridge being placed backwards. On the other hand, in Galera 2, the enamel islet is placed in a more posterior position, between the T5 and the Mimomysridge, directed towards the anterior part of the BSA-3. In some specimens from Galera 2 the enamel islet is fused with the BSA-3, the latter becoming a very straight angle directed backwards. This morphotype is not found in the population from Almenara 1. With respect to the $\mathrm{M}^{3}$, the posterior cap in Galera 2 shows a less-dcveloped LRA-3 and BRA-3, and a more prominent LRA-4. The enamel islet of this tooth is placed in a more transversal position with respect to the border of the tooth than in Almenara 1. In one case, it is lingually open, thus delimiting a very deep LRA-3.

## ENAMEL STRUCTURE

As in other species of Mimomys, Kislangia gusii displays an evolved enamel structure, with three kinds of enamel bands: radial, tangential and lamellar. Tangential enamel is well developed on the external side of the trailing edge of the triangles, while the internal side is occupied by radial enamel. The leading edge of the triangles is composed of an external band with radial cnamel and an internal one with lamellar enamel. The latter band is well developed and reaches the labial end of the lingual triangles and the lingual end of the labial triangles. This enamel structure is more evolved than the one observed in Kislangia cappettai from Balaruc 2 (with lessdeveloped lamellar enamel). It is comparable to that of Late Pliocene Mimomys species like M. polonicus (sce von Koenigswald \& Martin, 1984).

## Comparison with other species

Unfortunately, most of the described species of Kislangia are based on very scarce, badly preserved material. K. regulus Rabeder, 1981 and K. praerex Rabeder, 1981 were based on a few fragmentary tecth from the fissure-fillings of Stranzendorf D and G. Mimomys clairi Chaline, 1984 is based on five fragmentary $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and some other teeth. In the case of K. ischus (Esteban \& Martínez-Salanova, 1987) the material from the typelocality includes three $\mathrm{M}_{\mid}$and eleven other teeth. In Spain, $K$. ischus is also present in the section of Zújar (level of Zújar 11), in the Guadix-Baza Basin. We have used this sample for comparison with the species from Almenara 1 and Galera 2. So, K. gusii sp. nov. has been compared with populations of K. cappettai (Michaux, 1971) from Balaruc 2 and Moreda 1B, and with K. ischus from Zújar 11. An indirect comparison has been made with K. praerex, K. regulus and K. clairi. The analysis has been based on the general dimensions (Figs. 3-4), hypsodonty (Figs. 5-6) and ontogenetic trajectory of the


Fig. 3. Occlusal surface and lateral view of a left $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ of Kislangia illustrating the parameters measured in this paper. L-L': length; l-l': width; H : height of the crown; H 2 : height of the hyposinulid.
$M_{1}$ (Fig. 7). The definition of length and width is given in Fig. 3. The length is measured in a similar way as proposed by van der Meulen (1973) and Rabeder (1981), except for the fact that the length is taken as the line defined by the lingual extremes of the BRA-1 and BRA-2. The width has been measured as defined by Rabeder (1981). Taking into


Fig. 4. Length-Width scatter diagram for the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ of four populations of Kislangia: K. cappettai from Balaruc 2 (squares), K. ischus from Zújar 11 (circles), K. gusii from Galera 2 (crosses), and K. gusii from Almenara 2 (dots).
account the high degree of hypsodonty of $K$. gusii, this character has been measured on the hyposinulid (H2, see Fig. 3). Finally, several ontogenetic stages have been defined for the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, based on the closure of the roots and the closure and posterior wear of the enamel-islet. The following stages have been defined for these features:

Roots: R1: Open crown. R2: Begining of the closure of the base of the crown, roots still absent. R3: Closure of the crown by the development of roots.

Enamel-islet: P1: Open enamel-islet, directly communicating with the BRA-3. P2: Closed enamel-islet. P3: Enamel-islet absent through wear.

The combination of these two characters enables us to establish five ontogenetic stages in the development of $M_{1}:$ P1R1-P1R2-P2R2-P2R3-P3R3 (Figs. 6-7).

Kislangia cappettai (Michaux, 1971) - K. gusii is clearly larger and more hypsodont than K. cappettai (Figs. 4-5). The ontogenetic trajectory is also less progressive: the primitive morphotype P 1 R 1 is retained in relatively worn specimens (at 1.2 mm crown height, see Fig. 7).

Kislangia ischus (Esteban \& Martínez-Salanova, 1987) - K. gusii is somewhat larger than K. ischus. But the most obvious difference between the two species is the considerably greater hypsodonty of the former species, with respect to the latter one. The ontogenetic trajectory of $K$. gusii is also more progressive than that of $K$. ischus: although there is not a great difference in the aged specimens, the younger individuals retain the primitive condition P1R2.


Fig. 5. Relation between the length and the height of the crown (hypsodonty, measured as the height of the hyposinulid) in five populations of Kislangia: K. cappettai from Balaruc 2 (squares), $K$. ischus from Zújar 11 (circles), $K$. gusii from Galera 2 (asterisks), K. gusii from Zújar 14 (triangles), and K. gusii from Casablanca 2 (dots).

Kislangia rex (Kormos, 1934) - K. rex is similar in size to $K$. gusii. This is why the latter has often been referred to as $K$. aff. rex. Nevertheless, the study of the type-material from Villany 3 shows a basic difference in the structure of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ : the Mimomys-ridge is still present in the populations from Almenara 1 and Galera 2, even in highly worn specimens, in which the enamel-islet is already absent. On the other hand, in K. rex from Villany, the enamel-islet is still present in specimens, in which the Mimomys-ridge is absent or has disappeared. This basically different pattern of development of the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ indicates that at least these two populations (Iberian and Central-European) are specifically different.
Kislangia regulus and K. praerex Rabeder, 1981 - Unfortunately, as indicated above, these two species have been defined on the basis of poorly preserved, scarce material. Nevertheless, after the descriptions and figures by Rabeder (1981), both species seem less evolved than K. gusii: more primitive linea sinuosa, lower hypsodonty and smaller dimensions. In fact, it is a matter of discussion whether or not these species belong to the Kislangia lineage.
Kislaniga clairi Chaline, 1984 - The type material of this species is also very scarce. Although Chaline (1984) does not give any measurements of the specimens, he refers to this species as a medium-sized form, therefore smaller than K. gusii. But the most differentiating feature, as in $K$. rex, is the pattern of tooth development. As shown by the figures in this paper, the latest stages in the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ are characterized by the loss of the

Fig. 6. Wear sequence of the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ in Kislangia gusii from Almenara 1.



Fig. 7. Ontogenetic trajectories of the first $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ in four populations of Kislangia: $K$. cappettai from Balaruc 2 (squares), $K$. ischus from Zújar 11 (circles), K. gusii from Galera 2 (asterisks), and $K$. gusii from Almenara 1 (dots). Each ontogenetic trajectory is represented as a function of size and shape. In this case, the relative age is not represented by size, but by the height of the crown (= degree of abrasion). The mean value, as well as the limits of variability, are represented for each stage. Mean values for each stage are connected by dotted lines.

Mimomys-ridge. In fact, in K. clairi, this character gets lost almost simultaneously with the enamel islet, while K. gusii retains the Mimomys-ridge even in the mostworn specimens.

## Conclusions

The data obtained from the populations from Almenara 1 and Galera 2 strongly support the idea that the evolution of Kislangia has not been as simple as initially thought (a succession of ancestor-descendant populations ranging from Central Europe to Southern Spain). The existence of K. gusii indicates that at least two different groups of Kislangia were differentiated in Europe in the late Villanyian. A first group of species including $K$. ischus and $K$. gusii has an almost exclusively Iberian distribution. The evolution of this lineage is characterized by two different phases. In a first step, during the early Villanyian (from Balaruc 2 to Zújar 11), there is a clear increase in size. In a second phase, during the late Villanyian (from Zújar 11 to

Fig. 8. Kislangia gusii sp. nov. from Almenara 1 (ACB-1) and Galera $2(\mathrm{Ga}-2)$ and $K$. cappettai from Balaruc 2 (BAL-2). 1-19: $\mathrm{M}_{1} ; 20: \mathrm{M}^{3}$. 1: ACB-1-06; 2: ACB-1-07; 3:ACB-1-39; 4: ACB-1-10; 5 : ACB-1-12; 6: ACB-1-14; 7: ACB-1-15; 8: ACB-1-35; 9: ACB-1-38; 10: ACB-1-41; 11: ACB-1-11; 12: ACB-1-09; 13: ACB-1-36; 14: ACB-1-08; 15: ACB-1-13; 16: BAL-2-02; 17: BAL-2-03; 18: Ga-2-49; 19: Ga-2-50; 20: Ga-2-51 (M ${ }^{3}$ ). Crown cementum not drawn.


Galera 2 and Almenara 1), there is a slight increase in size associated with a much greater hypsodonty. The last populations of Kislangia gusii are characterized by very large size and hypsodonty, but the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ still retain the Mimomys-ridge even in the mostworn specimens. On the other hand, a second lineage of Kislangia presents a more gradual evolution, with a progressive increase in size and hypsodonty ( $K$. cappettai K. clairi, perhaps including $K$. praecursor and $K$. praerex). The last evolutionary stages in this lineage are characterized by the loss in the adults of all mimomyian characters, including the Mimomys-ridge ( $K$. rex).

The analysis of the ontogenetic trajectories in the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ of the Kislangia cappettai - K. gusii lineage shows, that during most of its evolution the features of the adult ancestor tend to appear as juvenile features in the descendant populations. This seems particularly clear in the transition from $K$. cappettai to $K$. ischus and, to a lesser degree, in the transition from $K$. ischus to $K$. gusii. In the first case, we are clearly dealing with an acceleration process. In the second case, the same kind of heterochrony is combined with the opposite effect in later phases. Such a combination of heterochronic processes has also been observed in the Mimomys occitanus - M. savini lineage (Chaline \& Sevilla, 1990).
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